

APPLICATION NO.

09/183,282



10/30/98

FILING DATE



## UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

SHAH-NAZAROFF A 042390 P6489

EXAMINER

ART UNIT

COLBERT, E

TM02/0423

LAWRENCE M CHO
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD
7TH FLOOR
LOS ANGELES CA 90025

2172

DATE MAILED: 04/23/01

PAPER NUMBER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	Application No.	09/183,282 SHAH-NAZAROFF ET AL.	
	09/183,282		
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ella Colbert	2172	
The MAILING DATE of this comm	unication appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 09 April 2001 FAILS Therefore, further action by the applicant is final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may or condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed NExamination (RCE) in compliance with 37	s required to avoid abandonment of this lly be either: (1) a timely filed amendme lotice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3)	application. A proper reply to a nt which places the application in	d
<u>PE</u>	RIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)	1	
reply expires on the mailing date of this Adv	the mailing date of the final rejection. sed reply (within two months as set forth in MPEP § isory Action, OR continues to run from the mailing d I the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX N	ate of the final rejection,	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR have been filed is the date for purposes of determining to 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration da (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	te of the shortened statutory period for reply original	nt of the fee.  The appropriate extension fee un y set in the final Office action; or (2) as set fort	ider th in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension t	Appellant's Brief must be filed within hereof (37CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dism	n the period set forth in issal of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will be with requisite fees.	entered upon the timely submission of	a Notice of Appeal and Appeal Brie	f
3. The proposed amendment(s) will no	t be entered because:		
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would	d require further consideration and/or se	arch. (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new mat			
	ne application in better form for appeal b	v materially reducing or simplifying	the

(d) \( \sum \) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

5. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment

6. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the

7. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly

8. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):

9. The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_ a) has b) has not been approved by the Examiner.

10. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_.

11. Other:

issues for appeal; and/or

NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.

canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

Claim(s) allowed:

Claim(s) objected to: \_\_\_\_\_.
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-33</u>.

application in condition for allowance because:

raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_\_\_.

4. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): \_\_\_\_\_.



Continuation of 3. NOTE: : Applicants' newly added claims 28-33 would require further search and consideration because of the added claim limitation "discriptive data" which is not a claim limitation of claims 1-27. It is unclear to the Examiner in the claim language what Applicants' mean by "descriptive data" and this claim limitation is not found by the Examiner in Applicants' Specification.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100